



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)  
DW Dec-06

WALKER DIGITAL  
2 HIGH RIDGE PARK  
STAMFORD CT 06905

## COPY MAILED

DEC 06 2006

## OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :  
Mueller et al. :  
Application No. 09/603,677 : DECISION ON PETITION  
Filed: 26 June, 2000 :  
Atty Docket No. 00-004 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 12 September, 2006,  
under 37 CFR 1.137(b),<sup>1</sup> to revive the above-identified  
application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 15 August, 2005, for failure  
to timely submit an Appeal Brief in response to the Notice of  
Appeal filed on 14 June, 2005, which set a two (2) month  
shortened period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply

<sup>1</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay  
in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a  
lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37  
CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application  
abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing  
application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995,  
and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a  
request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent,  
abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply  
must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application,  
abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the  
publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for  
the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.  
The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay  
was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to  
37 CFR 1.137(c)).

were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 28 April, 2006.

Petitioners have filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and arguments as the submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3627 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood  
Senior Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions